CXXXII – 'V for Vendetta'

LRC lewrockwell.com/1970/01/butler-shaffer/cxxxii-v-for-vendetta

By Butler Shaffer

I have always been a highly-critical moviegoer. I do not attend a film without first learning as much about it as I can, particularly from a synthesis of movie reviews and opinions provided by friends and relatives whose judgments I trust. As a consequence, I am not a u201Cmovie buffu201D; I have seen only one of the films nominated for major Oscars this year, Syriana, a picture I highly recommend.

It is for this reason that I awaited, with skeptical enthusiasm, the opening of V for Vendetta. I had heard so much about it ever since one of my daughters told me, a number of months ago, of a billboard she saw at the Warner Brothers studios with the accompanying language: u201CPeople should not be afraid of their governments. Governments should be afraid of their people.u201D

My eager anticipation of seeing this film was tempered, somewhat, by past experiences. Was this to be just another superficial anti-establishment flick, with a few libertarian oneliners thrown in for effect, and a sufficient amount of pyrotechnics to induce teenagers to attend? I have seen enough movies in which tyrannical statists brutalize innocent people, but with an heroic FBI or Justice Department official entering, at the end, to expose and rectify the wrongdoing and, in so doing, leave the audience with the assurance that the u201Csystemu201D works to correct itself.

My wife and I attended the opening day of this film and, I am happy to report, it far exceeded my expectations. Not only is this the most powerful anti-state film I have ever seen — one that makes no compromises with the system — but is, purely from a film-making perspective, one of the best movies I have seen in some time. Had the subject matter of this film been anti-vivisectionism, the depletion of the rainforests, or the sorrows of divorces, its acting, writing, direction, and other production features would have made watching it an enjoyable experience.

The story takes place in a 21st century England that is ruled by the most vicious of tyrants, played by John Hurt. In his regime, people are continually reminded that a state-imposed curfew is u201Cfor your protection,u201D with painful consequences awaiting those who do not comply. Into this setting steps the hero, u201CVu201D — played by Hugo Weaving — a man who had been brutalized by statist functionaries, and who is intent on destroying this most inhumane, fascistic state.

I shall not spoil the movie for you by revealing more of its story. Suffice it to say that, from a libertarian/anarchistic perspective, this film is for real! It digs beneath the surface of events to reveal the psychological factors — particularly our own fears – and institutional interests that combine to make tyranny possible. Natalie Portman — who plays the heroine, Evey — does a magnificent job playing out the sense of self-liberation so essential to a free life.

Prior to my attending this film, I encountered reviews by a few statists who saw the film as a u201Cdefense of terrorism.u201D Such a comment reveals more about the reviewers than of the movie itself. Any kind of resistance to tyranny is bound to strike terror into the hearts of members of the established order. Thus were the American colonials and Mohandas Gandhi u201Cterroristsu201D to the British; the Warsaw ghetto uprisings and the French underground movements u201Cterroristu201D actions to the German government; and the organized resistance of Algerians acts of u201Cterrorismu201D to the French. Even today, the Iraqi resistance to the destruction and domination of their country is regarded as u201Cterrorismu201D by the invading American state!

The openly anarchistic nature of this movie will produce shudders in well-conditioned statists who, in the words of F.A. Hayek, cling to their u201Cfear of trusting uncontrolled social forces.u201D Such people will trot out historic instances in which self-proclaimed u201Canarchistsu201D killed a few score of people, as evidence of the need for government. That states managed, in the 20th century alone, to slaughter some 200,000,000 people in wars and genocides has never provided an occasion for defenders of political systems to do a practical cost/benefit analysis of these alternative systems!

While V for Vendetta contains a great deal of violence, u201CVu201D reminds us, early on, of the social application of Newton's Third Law of Motion: for every action there is an equal and opposite reaction. In a political context, it is as childish to posit the violence engaged in by one group as u201Cpeacekeepingu201D and the opposing group as u201Cterrorism,u201D as it is to regard one side as u201Cgoodu201D and the other as u201Cevil.u201D It is the interdependent violence inherent in all political systems that is made evident in this film.

There is one poignant scene in this movie in which thousands of unarmed, peaceful individuals confront the well-armed military forces of the state. This scene, more than any other, may provide insight into how society might evolve in a world in which vertically-structured institutions are collapsing. The transformations of thinking that are arising from the study of u201Cchaos,u201D or u201Ccomplexity,u201D are producing changes in social behavior that make state systems obsolete. The predictability the statists imagine inheres in their structured apparatuses has been rendered illusory. Terry Pratchett's observation that u201Cchaos always defeats order because it is better organized,u201D reflects a world in flux. Perhaps a film such a V for Vendetta will provide us an opportunity to begin exploring the orderly nature of anarchistic systems.

I have no doubt that this film will generate u201Cterroru201D in the minds of those who regard the domination of others either as some inherent right or as an inevitable necessity for social order. But it is not the fear of violence that will be their principal concern. Violence will be the fear that the media will transmit to the boobeoisie to keep them huddled at the feet of their masters. The establishment's fear is not that buildings will be blown up — on the contrary, the destruction of the World Trade Center actually benefited the state — but that men and women will begin to dismantle the structures of political authority in their thinking. To paraphrase the words of Evey, it is not buildings that people need, but hope.

For those who are serious about living in a society in which peace, liberty, and the inviolability of the human spirit prevail, V for Vendetta provides an opportunity to rethink our social assumptions; to develop new ideas about our relationships to one another. And as u201CVu201D informs us, u201Cideas are bulletproof.u201D This film is a powerful antidote to the mindset that is destroying mankind. It is not for those who wish only to reform the state and confirm beliefs that the 20th century has rendered no longer suitable to the interests of humanity.

The Best of Butler Shaffer

